Pak Heart J

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN RISK FACTOR PROFILE AND DISTRIBUTION OF CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE AMONG PATIENTS UNDERGOING CORONARY ANGIOGRAPHY

Agha Fahad Jan¹, Sayed Fayaz Mujtaba², Khalid Naseeb³, Kanwal Fatima⁴, Khalid Iqbal⁵, Adeel khatri⁶, Zuhra Nawab⁷, Tahir Saghir⁸

¹⁻⁷ Department of Cardiology, Jinnah Hospital, Karachi - Pakistan

Address for Correspondence: **Dr. Syed Fayaz Mujtaba,**Room No 7B, 96 doctors Mess,

Jinnah Post Graduate Medical Center,

Karachi - Pakistan

E-mail: fayazmujtaba@yahoo.com

Date Received: November 6, 2012 Date Revised: November 2, 2012 Date Accepted: December 1, 2012

Contribution

All the authors contributed significantly to the research that resulted in the submitted manuscript.

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine gender based differences in risk factors and distribution of coronary artery disease (CAD) in patients undergoing coronary angiography.

Methodology: This was cross sectional, observational study. We studied 851 patients who underwent coronary angiography at a tertiary care centre. Patients were interviewed regarding their basic demographics, cardiovascular risk factor profiles and disease status. CAD burden was quantified and compared across sex groups. Data underwent statistical analysis using SPSS V 19. Level of significance was 0.05.

Results: We included total 851 patients. 209 patients (117M, 32F) had STEMI, 310 (213M, 97F) had NSTEMI 286 (200M, 86F) had unstable angina, 54 (27M, 27F) had stable angina. Normal angiogram was reported in 24% of females whiles it was reported in 11.5% in males. Single vessel disease (SVD) was 17.9% and 16.5% in male and female respectively. Double vessel disease (DVD) was 27% and 22% in male and female respectively. Triple vessel disease (TVD) was reported in 43% and 38% in male and female respectively. Left main Stem (LMS) was reported normal in 86.4% in males and 84.5% in females. Ostial disease was found in 274 (44%) male and 72 (30%) females. Bifurcation disease was present in 105 (17%) males and 22 (0.9%) females. Calcification was present in 139 (22.75%) males and 49 (20%) females. LV dysfunction was present in 242 (39.5%) males and 66 (27.6%) females.

Conclusion: There are significant gender based differences in risk factor profile, presentation, number, distribution and complexity of coronary artery disease.

Key Words: Risk factors, Coronary artery disease, Angiography.

INTRODUCTION

Coronary artery disease is (CAD) no longer confined by geographical area, age, sex, or socioeconomic boundaries. Heart disease has already reached epidemic proportions in poorer countries. Cardiovascular disease is posing a major public health hazard and clinical problem in South Asia (India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Nepal).

Since 1950, the incidence of coronary heart disease has risen among women while it has declined among men. The life time risk for developing CAD at the age of forty is two in three for men and one in three for women, and remains almost the same at the age of seventy, being one in three in men and one in four for women. Because of protective effect of estrogen and variable impact of other risk factors formation of CAD in females differ remarkably from men. These may be the possible basis that women are less likely than men to be referred for coronary angiography and subsequent revascularization.^{1,2} However, it is now recognized that heart disease is the first killer of women, with an increase at middle age.³ Following an acute event, women are reported to have worse outcomes. 4,5 with about twothirds of women never fully recovering. They also face worse prognosis than men following surgical therapy for CAD. 6,7 Some studies show no substantial evidence for gender differences in severity of coronary artery disease^{8,9} while others have found some significant differences.

Keeping these differences in mind we wanted to know gender based differences in distribution of coronary artery disease and risk factor profile in our population. So that a better identification, risk stratification and management plan can be made according to gender based difference.

METHODOLOGY

The study group consist of unselected 851 consecutive patients who underwent coronary angiography in an academic tertiary care unit; National Institute of cardiovascular diseases (NICVD) Karachi from July 2010-Oct 2010. Exclusion criteria included patients with a history of coronary artery bypass graft Surgery. Informed consent was taken from all patients. Patients were asked about basic demographic data and reason of angiography.

Each angiogram was reviewed by two cardiologists. Significant lesion was defined as those with 70% diameter narrowing of coronary arteries and 50% for the left main coronary artery. Statistical analysis was carried out by using Fisher's exact test and chi-square. Level of significance was < 0.05. Data was analyzed using SPSS V-19.

RESULTS

We included 851 patients; 612 (71.9%) males and 239 (28.1%) females. The male to female ratio is almost 3:1. The mean age of presentation was 52.49 years for males and 54.35 years for females. The femoral approach was site of vascular access in 683 (80.25%) patients; 496 (81%) males and in 187 (78%) in females while 169 (19.75%) patients had left heart catheterization (LHC) done via radial route. Type of presentation is mentioned in Table 1. Gender wise risk factor profile is mentioned in Table 2. Normal angiogram was reported in 24% of females whiles it was reported in 11.5% in males. Single vessel disease (SVD) was almost same 17.9% and 16.5% in male and female respectively. Double vessel disease (DVD) was 27% and 22% in male and female respectively. Triple vessel disease (TVD) was

Table 1: Gender wise Presenting Conditions

Variables	Total	Male (n%)	Female n (%)	<i>p</i> -value
STEMI	209	117 (19%)	32 (13%)	0.001
NSTEMI	310	213 (34.8%)	97 (40.5%)	0.132
Unstable Angina	286	200 (32.6%)	86 (35.9%)	0.375
Stable Angina	54	27 (0.4%)	27 (11.2%)	0.001

STEMI = ST elevation myocardial infarction **NSTEMI** = Non ST elevation myocardial infarction

Table 2: Gender wise Risk factor Profile

Variables	Total	Male (n%)	Female n (%)	<i>p</i> -value
HTN	577	393 (64.2%)	184 (76.9%)	0.001
DM	343	239 (39%)	104 (43.5%)	0.362
Smoking	323	308 (50%)	15 (0.6%)	0.001
Hyperlipidemia	196	135 (22%)	61 (25%)	0.279
Family history	179	138 (22.5%)	41 (17.1%)	0.092

Table 3: Distribution of Disease

Variables	Total	Male (n%)	Female n (%)	<i>p</i> -value
Left Main Artery	120	83 (13.5%)	37 (15.4%)	0.511
Left Anterior Descending Artery	649	485 (79%)	164 (68.6%)	0.002
Left Circumflex Artery	483	356 (58%)	127 (53.1%)	0.191
Right Coronary Artery	497	375 (61%)	122 (51%)	0.005
Diagonal one	222	176 (28.7%)	46 (19.2%)	0.026
Diagonal two	46	36 (0.5%)	10 (0.4%)	0.114
Obtuse marginal one	261	194 (31.6%)	67 (28%)	0.898
Obtuse marginal two	85	64 (1.0%)	21 (0.87%)	0.059

Table 4: Characteristics of lesion

Variables	Total	Male (n%)	Female n (%)	p-value
Ostial disease	346	274 (44.7%)	72 (30%)	0.000
Bifurcation disease	127	105 (17%)	22 (0.9%)	0.013
Calcification	188	139 (22.75)	49 (20%)	0.557
LV Dysfunction	308	242 (39.5%)	66 (27.6%)	0.001

reported in 43% and 38% in male and female respectively. Left main artery (LM) was reported normal in almost equal frequency 86.4% in males and 84.5% in females. Gender wise distribution of disease is mentioned in Table 3. High risk feature of coronary anatomy are mentioned in Table 4.

DISCUSSION

Like other studies we have found that female undergoing angiography were on average older than male subjects. 10-12

We also found that patients with STEMI were much more likely to be males while female had more prevalence of stable disease. Our study showed that females were more hypertensive than their male counterparts. Multiple studies have shown that women are more likely to have a history of traditional risk factors of coronary atherosclerosis such as hypertension, diabetes and hypercholesterolemia except cigarette smoking^{13,14} our study confirmed these findings.

Available data suggest that, for the same degree of symptoms as men, women present with less obstructive coronary artery disease (CAD). 15,16 We too found higher prevalence of normal angiogram in females than males.

We report the incidence of single vessel disease (SVD) in 17.9% males and in 16.5% females. This is comparably equal in distribution in gender but lower in prevalence as compared to other published data. Younes et al¹⁷ reported SVD in 21.8% in males and 23.6 % in Females. Other study

done in Netherlands by Roeters et al¹⁸ found equal but quite higher prevalence of SVD with 42% in males and 44.0% in females. In our study double vessel disease (DVD) was 27% in males and 22% in females, almost similar results were found in Roeters et al¹⁸ (27% Vs 26.6%). While Younes et al¹⁷ found DVD in 34% males and 31.8% females.

About 43% of males and 38% of females had TVD in our study. Younes et al 17 has found similar results as in our population (43.9% Vs 44.9%), while Roetrs et al found (25.5% Vs 24.2%).

One study by leaf et al¹⁹ done on 112 women and 722 men with coronary artery disease from 1972 through 1981 in Los Angles, U.S.A, found no evidence for gender differences in terms of the extent of coronary artery lesions. We found that in males left anterior descending artery (LAD) was significantly involved, followed by right coronary artery (RCA) [statistically significant] and left circumflex artery (LCX) [statistically nonsignificant] as compared to females. We did not found less involvement of left main artery (LM) in females as compared to males as suggested by one study²⁰ Complex lesion such as Ostial, bifurcation and calcified were found more in males as compared to females. Left ventricular dysfunction was also found more prevalent in males as compared to females. This finding was also reported by other studies.

We have demonstrated several important gender differences

in risk factor profile, clinical presentation to angiographic characteristics. However, there may still be inherent biological differences between genders that have yet to be defined that may also explain these outcomes.²³

LIMITATIONS

Our study did not address clinical outcomes, including cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Myocardial infarction frequently develops from angiographically nonobstructive lesions therefore angiographic findings of our study cannot be extrapolated to CAD associated mortality. Our conclusions does not apply to the general population, they are hypothesis- generating and deserve future exploration in a larger, multicenter study.

CONCLUSION

There are significant gender based differences in risk factor profile, presentation, number, distribution and complexity of coronary artery disease.

REFERENCES

- Gan SC, Beaver SK, Houck PM, MacLehose RF, Lawson HW, Chan L. Treatment of acute myocardial infarction and 30-day mortality among women and men. N Engl J Med 2000;343:8-15.
- Schulman KA, Berlin JA, Harless W, Kerner JF, Sistrunk S, Gersh BJ, et al. The effect of race and sex on physicians' recommendations for cardiac catheterization. N Engl J Med 1999;340:618-26.
- 3. Casper ML, Barnett E, Halverson JA. Geographic, racial and ethnic disparities in heart disease mortality among women. Ethn Dis 2000;10:136-137.
- 4. Lerner DJ, Kannel WB. Patterns of coronary heartdisease morbidity and mortality in the sexes: a 26-year follow-up of the Framingham population. Am Heart J 1986:111:383-90.
- Vaccarino V, Parsons L, Every NR, Barron HV, Krumholz HM. Sex-based differences in early mortalityafter myocardial infarction: national registry ofmyocardial infarction 2 participants. N Engl J Med1999;341:217-25.
- Greenland P, Reicher-Reiss H, Goldbourt U, Behar S. The Israeli SPRINT Investigators. Inhospital and 1-year mortality in 1524 women after myocardial infarction: comparison to 4315 men. Circulation 1991;83:484-91.
- 7. O'Connor G, Morton J, Diehl MJ, Olmstead EM, Coffin LH, Levy DG, et al. Differences between men and women in hospital mortality associated with coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Circulation 1993;88:2104-2110.

- 8. Jacobs AK, Kelsey SF, Brooks MM, Faxon DP, Chaitman BR, Bittner V, et al. Better outcome forwomen compared with men undergoing coronary revascularization: a report from the bypass angioplasty revascularization investigation (BARI). Circulation1998;98:1279-85.
- 9. Jong P, Mohammed S, Sternberg L. Sex differences in the features of coronary artery disease of patients undergoing coronary angiography. Can J Cardiol 1996:12:671-7.
- Song XT, Chen YD, Pan WQ, Lü SZ. Gender based differences in patients with acute coronary syndrome: findings from Chinese Registry of Acute Coronary Events (CRACE). Chin Med J (Engl) 2007;120:1063-7.
- Yeh RW, Sidney S, Chandra M, Sorel M, Selby JV, Go AS. Population trends in the incidence and outcomes of acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2010;362:2155-65.
- 12. Murabito JM, Evans JC, Larson MG, Levy D. Prognosis after the onset of coronary heart disease. An investigation of differences in outcome between the sexes according to initial coronary disease presentation. Circulation 1993;88:2548-55.
- 13. Zubaid M , Rashed WA, Thalib L, Suresh CG. Differences in thrombolytic treatment and in-hospital mortality between women and men after acute myocardial infarction. Jpn Heart J 2001;42:669-76.
- 14. Hochman JS, Tamis-Holland JE. Acute coronary syndromes: does sex matter? JAMA 2002;288:3161-
- 15. Sullivan AK, Holdright DR, Wright CA, Sparrow JL, Cunningham D, Fox KM. Chest pain in women: clinical, investigative, and prognostic features. BMJ 1994;308:883-6.
- Shaw LJ, Shaw RE, Merz CN, Brindis RG, Klein LW, Nallamothu B, et al. Impact of ethnicity and gender differences on angiographic coronary artery disease prevalence and in-hospital mortality in the American College of Cardiology-National Cardiovascular Data Registry. Circulation 2006;117:1787-801.
- 17. Nozari Y, Akiash N, Tavoosi A, Akiash N, Ashkaboosi S. Gender differences in extent of coronary artery disease and left ventricular function in patients undergoing coronary angiography. ARYA Atheroscler J 2009; 3:116-9.
- 18. Roeters van Lennep JE, Zwinderman AH, Roeters van Lennep HW, Westerveld HE, Plokker HW, Voors AA, et al. Gender differences in diagnosis and treatment of coronary artery disease from 1981-1997: no evidence for yentl syndrome. Eur Heart J 2000;21:911-8.
- 19. Leaf AD, Sanmarco ME, Bahl RA. Gender differencein

- coronary angiographic findings from 1972 through 1981 in Los Angeles, California. Angiology 1990;41: 8:609-15.
- 20. Chaitman BR, Bourassa MG, Davis K, Rogers WJ, Tyras DH, Berger R, et al. Angiographic prevalence of highrisk coronary artery disease in patient subsets (CASS). Circulation 1981;64;360-7.
- 21. Akhter N, Milford-Beland S, Roe MT, Piana RN, Kao J, Shroff A. Gender differences among patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutanious coronary intervention in the American College of Cardiology-National Cardiovascular Data Registry

- (ACC-NCDR). Am Heart J 2009;157;141-8.
- 22. Jacobs AK, Kelsey SF, Brooks MM, Faxon DP, Chaitman BR, Bittner V, et al. Better outcome for women compared with men undergoing coronary revascularization: a report from the bypass angioplasty revascularization investigation (BARI). Circulation 1998;98:1279-85.
- 23. Jacobs AK. Women, ischemic heart disease, revascularization, and the gender gap: what are we missing? J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:63-5.